WMO AeroMetSci-2017
Satisfaction Survey Results

Friday, December 01, 2017
119
Total Responses
Survey conducted: 14 to 30 November 2017
Complete Responses: 119
Q1: Please indicate your affiliation. (Select only one)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0

- Industry/manufacturer
- Student
- Other (please specify)
- International Organization
- Meteorological/scientific research (including academia)
- Meteorological operations/service provision
- Aviation user (airline, pilot, etc.)

Other:
- US Government
- Air Navigation Service Provider
Q2: How did you first hear about this conference? (Select only one)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0

Other:
- Invited by Meteo France
- Finish Meteorological Institute
- Through IMD’s intranet service
- Involved in the Organizing Committee
- Co-organizer
- National Weather Service
- Phone call from organizer
- CAeM Management Group.
- Info received from CDG Airport M-F Manager
- Inside the company
- Representative of WMO/WWRP WG
- Météo France direct contact
- I am an organizer

Referral (e.g. through a work colleague)

Other (please specify)

Social media (FaceBook, Twitter, etc.)

Other meeting or event

WMO official invitation letter

WMO public website

Météo-France website

WMO CAeM Newsletter
Q3: Please specify your reason(s) for attending this conference (Select all that apply)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0

Other:
- User requirements
- As a member of the Organizing Committee
- Nowcasting implementation
- Coming up with future research needs
- Presentation
- Organizer, local focal point
- Develop/update long term vision of our company on MET provision
- Attention for our work
- Considering the needs of aviation sector for ensemble forecast information
- Invited keynote lecture
Q4: Which sessions were you most interested in? (Select all that apply)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0

- Session 1 - Science...
- Session 2 - Integration,...
- Session 3 - Impacts of...
Q5: Did the conference fulfil your reason for attending? (Select only one)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0

- Yes, absolutely
- Yes but not to my full extent
- No
Q6: Taking into account your response to the preceding question, please provide a brief comment on your experience.

Answered: 95 Skipped: 24
Q7: From your perspective, what was the most beneficial aspect of the conference?

Answered: 98 Skipped: 21
Q8: Please indicate your overall level of satisfaction with the conference logistics. (Select one response per row)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0

- Conference registration...
- Pre-conference information...
- Conference website (hosted by...)
- Venue facilities...
- Coffee and lunch break...
- Evening functions
- Conference 'goody bag'

Weighted average
Q9: Please indicate your overall level of satisfaction with the conference content. (Select one response per row)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0

Weighted average

- Extended Abstracts
- Oral presentation...
- Poster presentations
- Session co-chairs an...
- Panelists and Panel...
- Conference wrap-up/conc...
Q10: If WMO were to conduct a similar conference in the future, would you recommend this conference to others? (Select only one)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0
Q11: If WMO were to conduct a similar conference in the future, how long should it be before such a conference takes place? (Select only one)

Answered: 119  Skipped: 0
Q12: What other topics or themes may be or are of interest to you for a future conference?

Answered: 83 Skipped: 36
Q13: Overall, how would you rate this conference?  
(1 star = poor, 5 star = excellent)

Answered: 119    Skipped: 0