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Outline

• Univariate analyses of I-COADS and GHCN: successes and challenges
• Additional constraints are crucial: geostrophy or... persistence?
• What drives the surprising persistence of tropical pressure and wind anomalies?
• Ocean modelers love equatorial wind persistence.
• New horizons open to us.
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Generic problem of the analysis of time-evolving fields
APPROXIMATING COVARIANCE

\[ C = \mathbf{E} \Lambda \mathbf{E}^T + \mathbf{E}' \Lambda' \mathbf{E}'^T \]

Reduced space optimal analysis
Successive corrections; Kriging
Figure 1: Eigenvalue spectra of climate variables from COADS, 1950-2000.
EOFs of zonal wind anomaly

Figure 2: Leading EOF patterns of zonal wind anomalies from COADS, 1950-2000
Small-scale variability in zonal wind $\sigma_{4^\circ \times 4^\circ \times 1 \text{month}}$, m/s
Dynamics of El Niño – Southern Oscillation
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Figure 4: Anomalies of 1877-1878 El Niño illustrated by univariate reduced anomalies by Kaplan et al. [2001b]
Independent ENSO indices
When data are sparse, additional constraints are absolutely necessary.

**Testing geostrophic constraint**

\[
\text{corr}\left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}, -fU\right]
\]

\[
\text{corr}\left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}, fV\right]
\]

Figure 5: Correlation coefficient between the terms of geostrophic balance for surface winds \((U\) and \(V\)) and sea level pressure \(P\). Data comes from the univariate RS OI analyses of COADS data [Kaplan et al., 2001]. Correlation coefficients are computed for all months from 1900 to 2000.
Persistence in SST anomalies is traditionally used to constrain historical analyses, but there is no persistence in monthly wind or pressure anomalies, right?

Data sets used below: Da Silva’s successive-correction analyses of COADS data NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis; WOCE surface winds derived from the ERS Scatterometry; FSU subjective analysis of the tropical Pacific winds; Xie and Arkin precipitation analysis.
Persistence: Anomaly autocorrelations with 1 month lag
Persistence: Anomaly autocorrelations with 1 month lag

Figure 6: One month autocorrelation of monthly wind anomalies Da Silva et al [1994] and NCEP-NCAR reanalysis data sets.
Verification by satellite data
John Chiang’s [et al., 2001] approach to surface wind modeling: linearized dynamical core of a GCM [Seager and Zebiak, 1995] is set up to take both sea surface temperature and elevated atmospheric heating as forcings. The latter is parameterized via precipitation.
Persistence of the actual forcings

Figure 8: One month lagged autocorrelations of interannual monthly anomalies for RA surface temperature and for Xie and Arkin [1986] precipitation.
Figure 9: Use of Chiang et al. [2001] model to reproduce wind persistence. Zonal wind response of the model forced by observed SST and precipitation shows good correlation with ERS scatterometry in the tropics (left panel). Autocorrelations of model winds with 1 month lag (contours in the right panel), while generally higher than the autocorrelations in the ERS scatterometry data (colors in the right panel; same as bottom right panel in Figure 6) have a similar pattern in the tropics.
What is a good wind product from the tropical oceanographer’s point of view?
Why equatorial persistence is so important?
RMS of sea level response to the wind noise in a single location
Correlation with TOPEX altimetry of ocean model sea level height response to wind products
Conclusions

- Univariate analyses are useful but additional constraints are needed.
- Within ~10 degree of Equator there is a persistence of surface wind and pressure anomalies.
- It is driven by the persistence in SST and precipitation (via elevated heating).
- It can be used in historical analyses of instrumental data by either fitting AR model to the wind or pressure data or by including temperature and precipitation in the analysis.
- Wind analyses suitable for driving ocean models must be persistent near Equator.
Persistence with longer lags

Zonal wind in Reanalysis: 160E-120W averages
Persistence of the actual forcings

Figure 8: One month lagged autocorrelations of interannual monthly anomalies for RA surface temperature and for Xie and Arkin [1986] precipitation